Diffusion-influenced reversible geminate recombination in one dimension. II. Effect of a constant field
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The diffusion-influenced reversible geminate-pair recombination problem is solved exactly in one dimension, in the presence of a constant external field. As the field strength changes sign, the long time asymptotics of the components of the Green function solution show a primary kinetic transition, in which the equilibrium values are changed. At two other critical values of the external field the approach to equilibrium changes, from a $t^{-3/2}$ power-law to exponential. At the three critical fields, asymptotic $t^{-1/2}$ decay prevails. © 2001 American Institute of Physics.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In 1913 Smoluchowski has extended the diffusion equation to the case of an external field of force [derived from an interaction potential, $U(x)$]. He has added to Fick’s Law a drift term which is proportional to the external force. The equation has, in fact, been derived by Lord Rayleigh in 1891 for the special case of a parabolic potential. Both he and Smoluchowski have obtained the fundamental solution (Green function) for it, a problem treated (and generalized) later by Ornstein and Uhlenbeck. Smoluchowski has also obtained the fundamental solution for a linear potential in one dimension (even with a reflective boundary condition), a problem reviewed by Chandrasekhar. To-date, these are the two “canonical” potentials for which simple analytic solutions are known.

To describe a chemical reaction which is coupled to diffusive motion, either boundary conditions or sink terms are added to the equation. The traditional theory of diffusion influenced reactions deals with irreversible reactivity, which was treated by Collins and Kimball via the so-called “radiation” boundary condition. With the added complexity of an interaction potential, not much could be done to solve the Smoluchowski equation analytically in the time-domain: Agmon obtained the exact solution for diffusion in a linear potential with this boundary condition. Bagchi, Fleming, and Oxtoby have found an analytic solution for diffusion in a harmonic potential with a delta-function sink at its minimum, whereas Weiss has obtained it for a parabolic sink.

The effect of an interaction potential on many-body reactivity has been studied predominantly at steady-state. There is on-going work concerning electric-field effect on irreversible ion recombination in solution. From these studies it appears that the steady-state rate constant for recombination typically decreases with increasing electric field. Time-dependent solutions or reversibility were not considered.

Recently, the study of reversible diffusion-influenced reactions has attracted growing interest. Reversibility may be depicted by the so-called “back-reaction” boundary condition. The simplest case (”geminate recombination”) involves a pair which evolves along a single separation coordinate. Because of its inherent complexity, closed-form analytic solutions for reversible geminate recombination have been found only in the absence of an interaction potential. An exception is the work of Agmon, who has found the Laplace transform for reversible binding of a particle diffusing under the influence of a linear one-dimensional potential. The goal of the present article is to extend this solution to the time domain. We achieve this by noting the mathematical isomorphism with a one-dimensional problem previously solved by us that involves excited-state geminate recombination with different lifetimes and quenching.

For the latter problem, Gopich and Agmon discovered an interesting kinetic transition as a function of the difference in excited-state decay rates and even in the presence of a competing quenching process. The simplest (“first order”) transition involves a change in the asymptotic decay from power-law to exponential. The mapping we establish between these rate-constants and the external force for the two isomorphic problems, implies that kinetic transitions occur also for the present problem as a function of the field strength. Thus although the one-dimensional problem can be solved numerically with relative ease, the analytic solution allows us to investigate kinetic transitions which are driven by the external field.

In addition, analytic solutions (even one-dimensional ones) have a practical application for generating random-numbers for moving particles in many-body Brownian dynamics simulations of reversible reactions. Thus far, these simulations were conducted in the absence of an inter-
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action potential. Since physical potentials are typically smooth and continuous, they could be linearized over intervals for which our analytic solution should hold. This could allow incorporation of a potential without sacrificing much of the efficiency arising from the large time steps.

Finally, one-dimensional diffusion with trapping does occur in nature for example, in charge migration along polymers\textsuperscript{28} or DNA chains.\textsuperscript{29,30} It is likely that some of these trapping events are actually reversible. The equations derived below could then describe the effect of an external electric field on these systems. This might have practical implications in the emerging field of molecular electronics.

\section*{II. EXACT SOLUTION}

Consider a particle diffusing in one dimension under the influence of a constant external field and with a reversible trap at the origin. Let \( p(x,t) \) be the probability density for observing the particle at a distance \( x \) by time \( t \). Its time evolution can be described by the following diffusion equation\textsuperscript{1,2}

\[
\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} = D \left[ \frac{\partial^2 p(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + 2a \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x} \right] \quad (x>0), \tag{2.1}
\]

where \( D \) is the diffusion constant and \( a \) determines the magnitude of the field [i.e., the particle moves in the potential \( U(x) = 2k_B T a x \)]. When \( a > 0 \), the particle moves toward the origin, whereas when \( a < 0 \) it may rapidly escape to infinity. The theory is applicable also for a pair of particles moving on the line with diffusion coefficients \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) and field strengths \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \), e.g., two different ions in a constant external force field. As shown in Appendix A, Eq. (2.1) is valid for motion in the relative coordinate \( x = x_2 - x_1 \), provided that we set \( D = D_1 + D_2 \) and \( a = (D_2 a_2 - D_1 a_1)/D \).

The boundary condition at infinity is simply

\[
p(\infty,t) = 0, \tag{2.2}
\]

whereas the boundary condition at the origin departs reversible binding\textsuperscript{9}

\[
D \left[ \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x} + 2a p(x,t) \right] \bigg|_{x=0} = k_d p(0,t) - k_d p(*,t). \tag{2.3a}
\]

Here \( k_d \) and \( k_a \) are the association and dissociation rate constants, respectively, and \( p(*,t) \) denotes the binding probability (an asterisk stands for a trapped particle). Its time evolution is given by a simple kinetic equation

\[
\frac{\partial p(*,t)}{\partial t} = k_d p(0,t) - k_d p(*,t), \tag{2.3b}
\]

which is an ordinary differential equation. If \( k_d = 0 \) one obtains the radiation boundary condition applied by Collins and Kimball,\textsuperscript{7,9} whereas if also \( k_a = 0 \) one regains the reflective boundary condition as used by Smoluchowski and Chandrasekhar.\textsuperscript{2,3} Our solution will reduce to these special cases in the appropriate limits.

We are interested in the “fundamental solution” or Green function for the problem, \( p(\cdots|x_0) \) and \( p(\cdots*|\cdots) \), which correspond to the particle initially in the unbound state at \( x = x_0 \) or in the bound state, respectively. These correspond to one of the two following initial conditions:

\[
p(x,0|x_0) = \delta(x-x_0), \quad p(*,0|x_0) = 0, \tag{2.4a}\]
\[
p(x,0|*) = 0, \quad p(*,0|*) = 1. \tag{2.4b}
\]

Smoluchowski noted\textsuperscript{24} that the transformation

\[
q(x,t|x_0) = \exp[a(x-x_0 + aDt)] p(x,t|x_0) \tag{2.5}
\]

reduces Eq. (2.1) to the field-free diffusion equation,

\[
\frac{\partial q(x,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 q(x,t)}{\partial x^2}. \tag{2.6}
\]

In order to extend this method to the bound state, we supplement Eq. (2.5) by

\[
q(*,t|x_0) = \exp[a(-x_0 + aDt)] p(*,t|x_0), \tag{2.7a}\]
\[
q(x,t|*) = \exp[a(x + aDt)] p(x,t|*), \tag{2.7b}\]
\[
q(*,t|*) = \exp[a^2Dt] p(*,t|*). \tag{2.7c}
\]

These may formally be obtained from Eq. (2.5) by setting \( x \) or \( x_0 \) in the exponent to zero whenever they correspond to the bound state, *. With the aid of Eqs. (2.7), Eqs. (2.3) become

\[
D \frac{\partial q(x,t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} = (k_a - aD) q(0,t) - k_d q(*,t), \tag{2.8a}\]
\[
\frac{\partial q(*,t)}{\partial t} = k_d q(0,t) - (k_d - a^2D) q(*,t). \tag{2.8b}
\]

In Part I we have treated the one-dimensional problem of reversible geminate recombination in the excited-state,\textsuperscript{19} with two different excited-state decay rate constants, \( k_0 \) for the bound state and \( k'_0 \) for the unbound state, and a competing quenching reaction (rate coefficient \( k_q \)). Specifically, we have solved

\[
\frac{\partial q(x,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 q(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - k'_0 q(x,t), \tag{2.9}
\]

supplemented by the conditions that

\[
D \frac{\partial q(x,t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} = (k_a + k_q) q(0,t) - k_d q(*,t), \tag{2.10a}\]
\[
\frac{\partial q(*,t)}{\partial t} = k_d q(0,t) - (k_d + k_0) q(*,t). \tag{2.10b}
\]

It is immediately evident that the two problems are mathematically isomorphic, provided that we identify \( k_q = -aD, k_0 = -a^2D, \) and \( k'_0 = 0 \). We can use the solution from Part I, but its behavior will differ due to the possibility of negative values for the “rate constants” \( k_q \) and \( k_0 \).

The solution in Part I was obtained in terms of three roots of a cubic polynomial, denoted by \( -\alpha, -\beta, \) and \( -\gamma \), which obey [cf. Eq. (2.14) in Ref. 19]

\[
\alpha + \beta + \gamma = (k_a + k_q)/D, \tag{2.11a}\]
\[
\alpha \beta + \beta \gamma + \gamma \alpha = (k_0 - k'_0 + k_d)/D. \tag{2.11b}
\]
\[ \alpha \beta = [(k_0 - k_0')(k_a + k_d) + k_d k_d]/D. \]  
(2.11c)

For the present values of the rate parameters, these relations simplify to

\[ \alpha = -a, \]  
(2.12a)

\[ D(\beta + \gamma) = k_a, \]  
(2.12b)

\[ D\beta = a k_a + k_d - a^2 D. \]  
(2.12c)

Thus if we denote \( \beta = \lambda_+ \) and \( \gamma = \lambda_- \), it becomes clear from the last two equations that \( \lambda_\pm \) are the roots of the following quadratic equation:

\[ D \lambda^2 - k_\lambda \lambda_0 + (a k_a + k_d - a^2 D) = 0. \]  
(2.13)

These roots can be readily obtained as

\[ \lambda_\pm = (\lambda_0 \pm \Delta)/2D, \]  
(2.14a)

\[ \Delta = [k_a^2 + 4D^2(a - a_+)(a - a_-)]^{1/2} \]
\[ = [4D^2(a - b_+)(a - b_-)]^{1/2}, \]  
(2.14b)

where the “transition fields” (see below), \( a_\pm \) and \( b_\pm \), are given by

\[ 2D a_\pm = k_\lambda + \sqrt{k_a^2 + 4DK_d}, \]  
(2.15a)

\[ 2D b_\pm = k_\lambda - \sqrt{k_a^2 + 4DK_d}. \]  
(2.15b)

The \( a_\pm \) are the solutions of the quadratic equation \( \lambda_+ \lambda_- = 0 \), see Eq. (2.12c). Thus whereas \( \alpha \beta \gamma = 0 \) has a single solution for positive rate constants, for the present problem Eq. (2.11c) has three such roots, occurring at \( a = 0 \) and \( a = a_\pm \), all of which are real \( (a_+ > 0, a_- < 0) \), and both correspond to \( \lambda_\pm = 0 \). This results in a qualitative change in the behavior of the solution for the problem at hand. By direct substitution in Eqs. (2.16), (2.20), and (2.25) of Part I, the exact Green function for the present problem is finally found to be

\[ e^{ax + a^2 D t} p(x, t|x_0) \]
\[ = f(x, t|x_0) + \frac{k_a a}{2k_a a + k_d} W \left( \frac{x + x_0}{\sqrt{4D t}}, -a \sqrt{D t} \right) \]
\[ + \frac{k_a}{\Delta} \left[ \frac{\lambda_-(\lambda_- - a)}{\lambda_- + a} W \left( \frac{x + x_0}{\sqrt{4D t}}, \lambda_- \sqrt{D t} \right) \right. \]
\[ - \frac{\lambda_+(\lambda_+ - a)}{\lambda_+ + a} W \left( \frac{x + x_0}{\sqrt{4D t}}, \lambda_+ \sqrt{D t} \right) \].  
(2.16a)

\[ e^{ax + a^2 D t} p(x, t|\ast)/k_d \]
\[ = \frac{a}{2k_a a + k_d} W \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{4D t}}, -a \sqrt{D t} \right) \]
\[ + \frac{\lambda_-}{\Delta(a + \lambda_-)} W \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{4D t}}, \lambda_- \sqrt{D t} \right) \]
\[ - \frac{\lambda_+}{\Delta(a + \lambda_+)} W \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{4D t}}, \lambda_+ \sqrt{D t} \right), \]  
(2.16b)

\[ p(\ast, t|x_0) = k_a e^{2ax_0} p(x_0, t|\ast)/k_d, \]  
(2.16c)

\[ e^{ax + a^2 D t} p(\ast, t|\ast) = \frac{a}{2k_a a + k_d} \Omega(-a \sqrt{D t}) \]
\[ + \frac{k_a \lambda_-}{\Delta(a^2 - \lambda_-^2)} \Omega(\lambda_- \sqrt{D t}) \]
\[ - \frac{k_a \lambda_+}{\Delta(a^2 - \lambda_+^2)} \Omega(\lambda_+ \sqrt{D t}), \]  
(2.16d)

where \( f(x, t|x_0) \) is the solution of the diffusion equation with a reflective boundary condition

\[ f(x, t|x_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi D t}} \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{(x + x_0)^2}{4D t} \right) + \exp \left( -\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{4D t} \right) \right], \]  
(2.17)

the functions \( W(y, z) \) and \( \Omega(z) \) are defined by

\[ W(y, z) = \exp(2yz + z^2) \text{erfc}(y + z), \]  
(2.18a)

\[ \text{erfc}(y + z), \]  

---

**TABLE I.** Transition values for the three roots, \(-a, \lambda_+, \) and \(\lambda_-\), as a function of external field, \(a\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>(D_a)</th>
<th>(D\lambda_+)</th>
<th>(D\lambda_-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{2}(k_a - \sqrt{k_a^2 + 4DK_d}))</td>
<td>(k_a)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{2}(k_a + \sqrt{k_a^2 + 4DK_d}))</td>
<td>(k_a/2)</td>
<td>(k_a/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>(k_a/2)</td>
<td>(k_a/2 + i\sqrt{DK_d})</td>
<td>(k_a/2 - i\sqrt{DK_d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>(k_a/2)</td>
<td>(k_a/2 + i\sqrt{DK_d})</td>
<td>(k_a/2 - i\sqrt{DK_d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>(k_a)</td>
<td>(k_a)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( \Omega(z) = W(0,z) = \exp(z^2) \text{erfc}(z), \) \hspace{1cm} (2.18b)

and \( \text{erfc}(z) \) denotes the complementary error function of the (possibly complex) argument \( z \). As discussed in Appendix B, the above solution reduces correctly to the one for irreversible reaction, when either \( k_d = 0 \) (irreversible recombination) or \( k_a = 0 \) (irreversible dissociation).

III. ROOTS AND TRANSITIONS

The solution in Eq. (2.16) is determined by the three roots of the cubic polynomial, \( -a, \lambda_+, \) and \( \lambda_- \). Gopich and Agmon have identified three types of transitions for the three roots in the complex plane. First order transitions occur when one root vanishes, second order transitions are when two roots coincide and a third order transition is when the real part of all three roots coincide. Thus for the root \(-a\), a first order transition \((10)\) occurs at zero field. We proceed to analyze the dependence of the remaining two roots, \( \lambda_{\pm} \).

A convenient starting point is the discriminant in Eq. (2.14b), which is plotted in Fig. 1. The four transition fields, \( a_{\pm} \) and \( b_{\pm} \) [see Eq. (2.15)] are depicted as circles. When \( a = a_{\pm} \), \( \Delta = k_a \) and thus \( \lambda_{\pm} \) vanishes [see Eq. (2.14a)]. These first order transitions are denoted by \( 1_{\pm} \), respectively. In contrast to \( \lambda_- \), \( \lambda_+ \) does not undergo such a transition, as it is always positive. When \( a = b_{\pm} \), \( \Delta \) vanishes and then \( \lambda_+ = \lambda_- = k_d/2D \). We denote these second-order transitions by \( 2_{\pm} \), respectively. The minimum of \( \Delta^2 \) occurs at \( a = k_d/2D \). At this point the absolute value of the real part of all three roots coincide, which we term a third-order transition (this is a slight modification of the original definition). The various transitions are summarized in Table I.

The real and imaginary parts of \( \lambda_{\pm} \) are depicted in Fig. 2. The significance of the second order transition is clear: Outside the interval \([b_- , b_+]\), the two roots are real. They coincide when \( a = b_- \) or \( a = b_+ \). Inside the interval \([b_- , b_+]\), the two roots are complex: Their real part is \( k_d/2D \), whereas the absolute value of their imaginary parts reaches a maximum \((\sqrt{k_d}/D)\) at the third order transition, where also \( a = k_d/2D \). With the aid of Fig. 2, it is easy to envision the trajectories of \( \lambda_{\pm} \) in the complex plane. As \( a \) increases from \( -\infty \), \( \lambda_- \) moves right along the real axis until it hits the origin. This first order transition, denoted \( 1_- \), occurs when \( a = a_- \). In parallel, \( \lambda_+ \) moves left on the real axis, from \( +\infty \) to \( k_d/D \). As the field further increases, these two roots collide \((\Delta = 0)\) at the point \( k_d/2D \) on the real axis. This second order transition is denoted \( 2_- \). The field at this transition may have a negative or a positive value. For the latter case, the transition \( 1_0 \) appears first. Upon further increase in \( a \), the roots move into the complex plane, perpendicular to the real axis. They are now complex-conjugate: Their real part remains at \( k_d/2D \), whereas their imaginary parts increase (in absolute value) to a maximum, \( \Delta^2 \), occurring when \( a = k_d/2D \). Upon further increase in \( a \), \( \lambda_{\pm} \) retrace their trajectories: They collide again on the real axis (transition \( 2_+ \)), then \( \lambda_- \) vanishes (transition \( 1_+ \)), and finally they retreat to \( \pm \infty \), respectively.

The case of \( k_d = 0 \) is seen to be singular in the sense that the two second order transitions coincide, \( b_+ = b_- \). This occurs at the third order transition, \( a = k_d/2D \).

IV. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR

The property of the three roots that affects most the solution in Eq. (2.16) is their sign. Whereas \( \lambda_+ \) is always positive, \( a \) and \( \lambda_- \) may be either positive or negative. The sign changes involved in the first order transitions \((1_0 \) and \( 1_- \)) induce corresponding transitions in the transient kinetics. Interestingly, sign changes in \( a \) and \( \lambda_- \) affect different portions of the asymptotic behavior. The first determines the \( t = \infty \) equilibrium solution, that exists only when \( a > 0 \) ("primary transition"). The sign of \( \lambda_- \) determines the approach to the \( t = \infty \) limit ("secondary transition"). It is a \( t^{-3/2} \) power-law in the "inner region" [\( a_- , a_+ \)], and exponential in the complementary "outer region." At the transitions \( a = 0 \) or \( \lambda_\pm = 0 \) a different, \( t^{-1/2} \) power-law holds. To demonstrate this, we shall obtain the asymptotic behavior for some of the relevant functions, evaluated for \( k_d > 0 \).

The long time behavior stems directly from that of the error-functions appearing in Eq. (2.16). The kinetic transition arises from the different behavior of \( \text{erfc}(z) \) as \( |\arg z| \) goes through the value \( 3 \pi/4 \), see Ref. 31. As a result, we find that

\[
W\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{4Dt}}, y \sqrt{Dt}\right) \sim \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2Dt \sqrt{\pi D}}^2 - \frac{1}{2Dt \sqrt{\pi D}} \left(\frac{x^2 + x + 1}{2y^2 + y^2 + y^2}\right) & \text{when } |\arg y| < 3 \pi/4, \\
2e^{(y^2Dt + xy)} - W\left(-\frac{x}{\sqrt{4Dt}}, -y \sqrt{Dt}\right) & \text{when } |\arg y| > 3 \pi/4.
\end{cases}
\]
The asymptotics of $\Omega(y \sqrt{Dt})$ are obtained by setting $x = 0$ in the above equation. Thus, in our case, when a root is in the positive half of the complex plane the power-law asymptotics holds, whereas when it is negative an exponential term is added.

Consider first the possibility that a nonzero equilibrium solution is approached as $t \to \infty$. This cannot arise from the $W$-term with $y = \lambda_+$, since $\lambda_+$ is always positive. It cannot arise from the $W$-term with $y = \lambda_-$, although $\lambda_-$ may be negative. This follows from the inequality

$$\lambda^2 - a^2 < 0,$$

which we prove in Appendix C. Thus the factor $\exp((\lambda^2 - a^2)Dt)$, produced by the exponential factors in Eqs. (2.16) and (4.1), decays to zero with time. It remains to consider the root $y = -a$. When $a < 0$, the argument of the $W$-function is positive so it decays to zero. However, when $a$ is positive, the exponential factors in Eqs. (2.16) and (4.1) cancel identically, and the solution approaches its equilibrium limit

$$p(x, \infty) = \frac{2ak_d}{2ka + k_d} \exp(-2ax),$$

$$p(\pi, \infty) = 2ak_\pi/(2ka + k_d).$$

Note that these two solutions are valid for any initial condition, and obey detailed balancing in the form $k_d p(\pi, \infty) = k_\pi \exp(U(x)k_b T)p(x, \infty)$. Physically, it is expected to have an equilibrium solution only when diffusion is biased toward the (reversible) trap. The behavior for $k_d = 0$ should be obtained separately, see Appendix B.

For brevity, let us introduce the notation

$$p_{eq} = \begin{cases} 2ak_\pi/(2ka + k_d), & \text{when } a > 0, \\ 0, & \text{when } a \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We can now obtain the long time asymptotic behavior for $p(\pi, t) - p_{eq}$ and $p(\pi, t) - p(\pi, x_0)$, which may correspond to experimentally observable populations. Since the transition in $a$ has already been taken into account, the asymptotic behavior of these functions depends on $\lambda_-$. Thus we expect three different asymptotic behaviors according to the sign of $\lambda_-$, which is positive in the “outer region” $[a_+, \pi_+]$, negative in the “inner region” and zero for $a = a_0$.

(a) In the inner region, when $a_0 < a < a_+$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ p_{eq} - p(\pi, t|x_0) \right] \sim \frac{k_d(x_0 - aD + k_d - a^2D)}{(a_+ - a - a)D^2} \exp(-aD\sqrt{D}) \frac{\exp(-a^2D\sqrt{D})}{2D \sqrt{\pi D t}},$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ p(\pi, t|x) - p_{eq} \right] \sim \frac{k_d k_d}{(a_+ - a - a_0)^2} \exp\left(-\frac{a^2D^2}{2D \sqrt{\pi D t}}\right).$$

(b) In the outer region, when $a < a_-$ or $a > a_+$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ p_{eq} - p(\pi, t|x_0) \right] \sim \frac{1}{a} \frac{\exp(ax_0 - a^2D)}{\sqrt{\pi D t}}.$$

(c) At the transitions $a = a_0$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ p_{eq} - p(\pi, t|x_0) \right] \sim \frac{1}{k_d} \frac{\exp(-a^2D)}{\sqrt{\pi D t}}.$$

Finally, when $a = 0$, the results reduce to those of the field-free system,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} p(\pi, t|x_0) = \lim_{t \to \infty} p(\pi, t|x) \sim \frac{k_d}{k_d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi D t}}.$$
not be a far-fetched aspiration, given that the corresponding transition in excited-state kinetics \(^{20-22}\) has just been observed experimentally.\(^{32}\)
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**APPENDIX A: TRANSFORMATION TO RELATIVE COORDINATES**

It is well known that two diffusing particles obey a diffusion equation in their relative separation coordinate, with a diffusion constant which equals the sum of their individual diffusion constants. In this appendix we extend the derivation to a constant force (e.g., ions between the plates of an infinite capacitor), showing that in the relative coordinate there will be an effective constant force. The starting equation in the laboratory coordinate system is the diffusion equation for the joint probability density of the two particles

\[
\frac{\partial p(x_1,x_2,t)}{\partial t} = D_1 \left[ \frac{\partial^2 p(x_1,x_2,t)}{\partial x_1^2} + 2a_1 \frac{\partial p(x_1,x_2,t)}{\partial x_1} \right] + D_2 \left[ \frac{\partial^2 p(x_1,x_2,t)}{\partial x_2^2} + 2a_2 \frac{\partial p(x_1,x_2,t)}{\partial x_2} \right],
\]

where \(a_i\) is the field strength acting on the particle \(i\) and \(D_i\) its diffusion constant. Let us transform into the two variables

\[
X = (D_2 x_1 - x_2)/D, \quad x = (x_2 - x_1),
\]

where \(D=D_1+D_2\) is an “effective” diffusion constant. \(X\) and \(x\) correspond to the “center-of-mass” and the relative interparticle separation, respectively. From the chain-rule for differentiation one obtains

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_i} = \frac{D_j}{D} \frac{\partial p}{\partial X} + (-1)^i \frac{\partial p}{\partial x},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_i^2} = \left( \frac{D_j}{D} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + 2(-1)^i \frac{D_j}{D} \frac{\partial p}{\partial X} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x},
\]

where \(i \neq j = 1,2\). Substituting, we can rewrite Eq. (A1) as

\[
\frac{\partial p(X,x,t)}{\partial t} = D' \frac{\partial^2 p(X,x,t)}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 p(X,x,t)}{\partial x^2} + 2D'(a_1 + a_2) \frac{\partial p(X,x,t)}{\partial X} + 2(D_2 a_2 - D_1 a_1) \frac{\partial p(X,x,t)}{\partial x},
\]

where \(D' = D_1 D_2 / D\). Using separation of variables

\[
p(X,x,t) = q(X,t) p(x,t),
\]
we get the following set of equations:

\[
\frac{\partial q(X,t)}{\partial t} = D \left[ \frac{\partial^2 q(X,t)}{\partial X^2} + 2(a_1 + a_2) \frac{\partial q(X,t)}{\partial X} \right], \quad (A6a)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} = D \left[ \frac{\partial^2 p(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + 2a \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x} \right], \quad (A6b)
\]

where the effective field in the second equation is \( a = (D_2a_2 - D_1a_1)/D \). For a pair of geminate particles, Eq. (A6b) is the one treated in the sequel.

**APPENDIX B: IRREVERSIBLE LIMITS**

The solutions given by Eqs. (2.16) comprise the limiting cases of both irreversible recombination \( (k_d = 0) \) and irreversible dissociation \( (k_a = 0) \), which we discuss herein.

When \( k_d = 0 \), we have \( a = k_d/D, \ a_0 = 0 \). Allowing \( \Delta = k_a - 2Da \) to be both positive and negative, the two roots \( \lambda_\pm \) are replaced by one which equals \( a \), and a second one which we denote simply by \( \lambda = k_a/D - a \). Subsequently, in Eq. (2.16a) only the last \( W \)-function survives, and we obtain

\[
e^{a(x-x_0+aDt)} p(x,t|x_0) = f(x,t|x_0) - \lambda W \left( \frac{x+x_0}{\sqrt{4Dt}}, \lambda \sqrt{Dt} \right). \quad (B1a)
\]

In contrast, all three \( W \)-functions survive in Eqs. (2.16b) and (2.16c), which reduce to

\[
e^{-ax_0+a^2Dt} p(x,t|x_0) = \frac{1}{2} W \left( \frac{x_0}{\sqrt{4Dt}}, -a \sqrt{Dt} \right) + \frac{k_a}{2\Delta} W \left( \frac{x_0}{\sqrt{4Dt}}, a \sqrt{Dt} \right) - \frac{D\lambda}{a} W \left( \frac{x_0}{\sqrt{4Dt}}, \lambda \sqrt{Dt} \right). \quad (B1b)
\]

These solutions for irreversible recombination are equivalent to Eqs. (48) and (49) as reported by Agmon.\(^9\)

The fact that now two roots change sign at \( a = 0 \), implies that two \( W \)-terms may contribute to the \( t = \infty \) limit. The first one contributes, as in the reversible case, for \( a > 0 \), to give \( p(\ast, \infty|x_0) = 1 \). This agrees with the \( k_d = 0 \) limit of Eq. (4.4). Physically, it reflects the known property that in one dimension the probability of returning to the origin is unity. Unlike the reversible case, when \( a < 0 \) (motion away from the origin) the second \( W \)-term contributes to the limiting value of the binding probability. It becomes [cf. Eq. (51) of Ref. 9]

\[
p(\ast, \infty|x_0) = \frac{k_a e^{2ax_0}}{k_a - 2Da} \quad (B2)
\]

instead of 0 in the reversible case. Indeed, reversibility provides repeated escape opportunities down the potential gradient, whereas in the irreversible case the fate is determined after a single binding event (if it occurs).

The approach to these limits can be obtained by setting \( k_d = 0 \) in the asymptotic expressions in Sec. IV, except in the case \( a = 0 \), where Eq. (4.8) does not hold. Indeed, when both \( k_d = 0 \) and \( a = 0 \) (therefore also one of the \( \lambda_\pm \) vanishes), two of the \( W \) prefactors in (each) of Eqs. (2.16) assumes the form 0/0, which should be treated separately. Also note that since \( a_+ = 0 \), there is no secondary first-order transition for \( a < 0 \), resulting in a \( t^{-\nu} \) decay throughout this region.

When \( k_a = 0 \) (irreversible dissociation), \( a_+ = b_+ = \pm \sqrt{k_d/D}, \ D_2 = D/2 - k_d, \) and \( \lambda_\pm = \pm \Delta/2 \). In Eq. (2.16a), only the first \( W \)-function contributes, and we obtain

\[
e^{a(x-x_0+aDt)} p(x,t|x_0) = f(x,t|x_0) + aW \left( \frac{x+x_0}{\sqrt{4Dt}}, -a \sqrt{Dt} \right), \quad (B3a)
\]

which is the solution for a reflective boundary. In the initially bound state, all three \( W \)-terms contribute, giving

\[
e^{ax+a^2Dt} p(x,t|a) = a W \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{4Dt}}, -a \sqrt{Dt} \right) - \frac{k_d}{2D(a + \lambda_+)} W \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{4Dt}}, \lambda_+ \sqrt{Dt} \right) - \frac{k_d}{2D(a + \lambda_-)} W \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{4Dt}}, \lambda_- \sqrt{Dt} \right). \quad (B3b)
\]

The binding probabilities clearly obey \( p(\ast,t|x_0) = 0 \) and \( p(\ast,t|\ast) = \exp(-k_jt) \). The \( t = \infty \) behavior in this case does follow Eq. (4.3) with \( k_d = 0 \). Whereas \( p(x,\infty) \) shows a transition from \( 0 \) (for \( a < 0 \)) to \( 2a \exp(-2ax) \) (for \( a > 0 \)), \( p(\ast,t) = 0 \) in both cases so it does not undergo a transition at all.

**APPENDIX C: IDENTITIES AND INEQUALITIES**

To show that in the outer region \( \lambda^2 - a^2 < 0 \) when \( k_d > 0 \), consider the following identities:

\[
D(\lambda_+ + a)(\lambda_+ + a) = 2ak_+ + k_d, \quad (C1a)
\]

\[
D(\lambda_+ - a)(\lambda_+ - a) = k_d, \quad (C1b)
\]

which follow directly from Eq. (2.12). Therefore, for \( a > 0 \), since \( \lambda_+ + a > 0 \) and also \( 2ak_+ + k_d > 0 \), one concludes that \( \lambda_+ - a > 0 \). For \( a < 0 \), since \( \lambda_+ - a < 0 \) one has that \( \lambda_+ - a > 0 \). Summarizing, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_+ + a &> 0 \text{ when } a > 0, \\
\lambda_+ - a &< 0 \text{ when } a < 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Now, in the outer region, either \( a > a_+ > 0 \) or \( a_+ < a < 0 \). In both cases \( \lambda_+ < 0 \). In the first case, \( \lambda_+ - a < 0 \), therefore \( \lambda^2 - a^2 = (\lambda_+ - a)(\lambda_+ + a) < 0 \). In the second case, \( \lambda_+ + a < 0 \), and we arrive at the same conclusion.

When \( k_d = 0 \), however, \( \lambda^2 - a^2 = 0 \) and thus the factor \( \exp(\lambda^2 - a^2Dt) \) becomes unity for all times.

---
